

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/738,374	12/15/2000	Toshikazu Funahara	36856.414	9810
7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER		
KEATING & BENNETT LLP			KINKEAD, ARNOLD M	
Suite 312 10400 Eaton Pl	ace		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfax, VA 22030			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/738,374	FUNAHARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M Kinkead	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 7-9,11,13 and 16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10,12,14,15,17 and 18 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/738,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The examiner has reconsidered the allowableness of the previously allowed claims:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 10,12,14,15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avanic et al(5,650,755) in view of Morino et al(5,661,441) both of record.

The reference by Avanic et al discoses a VCO resonator package (310)with circuit substrate (302) and connections to the substrate being inherent(see figure 3); a shield(314) is shown bonded to the top of the resonator package(310).

Application/Control Number: 09/738,374

Art Unit: 2817

The reference does not show a center located cavity for the resonator, as well as a crystal type resonator, and lastly,

the use of solder cream, for example, as an adhesive for the bonding to the shield.

The reference by Morino et al shows these ideas, see figure 1, and col. 2, where a cavity with walls is shown

to receive the resonator package(1); use of solder cream (15) also aids to bond the package and hold it stably is

noted. The particular type of resonator is not a crystal, however, this is simply another conventional art recognized

equivalent resonator package that is notoriously well known in the art that allows for a particular VCO frequency of

operation.

In light of the above it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have realized that the

stability of Avanic et al vibrator package is due to the soldering of the package to the shield and that any conventional

art recognized equivalent adhesive(thermoset epoxy or cream solder) is useable and would be within the level of skill

for one of ordinary skill in the art. The crystal type of resonator being a notoriously well known art recognized

equivalent resonator that may be used for the general resonator of Avanic et al. The cavity also lending to the secure

placement on the substrate.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7-9, 11,13, 16 and 19 are allowed.

Page 3

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The reference by Okeshi et al(US 5,880,553) shows use of an adhesive (32)to connect the shield(30) and the resonator(crystal type ,20).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold M Kinkead whose telephone number is 703-305-3486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30 am -5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 703-308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

> Arnold M Kinkead **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2817

Arnold Kinkead

March 30, 2004